LAW OFFICES OF

COOPER, WHITE & COOPER

A PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS TELECOPIER (415) 433-5530

201 CALIFORNIA STREET SEVENTEENTH FLOOR

SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94111

WOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL (925) 935-0700

CONTRA COSTA OFFICE
1333 N CALIFORNIA BLVD

WALNUT CREEK

CALIFORNIA 94596

(415) 433-1900

April 20, 1999

APR 21 1999 FCC MAIL ROOM

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Re:

Ducor Telephone Company

Petition for Waiver of Dialing Parity Implementation Schedule

CC Docket 96-98

Dear Ms. Salas:

On behalf of Ducor Telephone Company ("Ducor"), enclosed for filing are an original and four copies of Ducor's Petition for Waiver of the Dialing Parity Implementation Schedule set forth in the Commission's March 23, 1999 Order in CC Docket 96-98 (FCC 99-54). We have also enclosed an extra copy of the Petition which should be stamped "Received" and returned to us in the envelope provided.

Should you or the Commission's staff have any questions regarding this Petition, do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (415) 765-0302.

Very truly yours,

COOPER, WHITE & COOPER

Sean P. Beatty

Enclosures

cc (w/encl.): Alvin H. Pelavin

E. Garth Black Mark P. Schreiber

Eric Wolfe

Galen Norsworthy Roger M. Barker

No. of Copies rec'd O+ U

270017.1

1 APR 21 1999 FCC MAIL ROOM 2 3 4 5 **BEFORE THE** 6 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 7 Washington, DC 20554 8 9 In the Matter of 10 Implementation of the Local Competition CC Docket No. 96-98 11 Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 12 13 14 PETITION OF DUCOR TELEPHONE COMPANY 15 FOR WAIVER OF DIALING PARITY IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE 16 17 18 19 Alvin H. Pelavin 20 E. Garth Black 21 Mark P. Schreiber Sean P. Beatty COOPER, WHITE & COOPER 22 201 California Street Seventeenth Floor 23 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 433-1900 Telecopier: (415) 433-5530 24 25 Attorneys for Petitioner Ducor Telephone Company 26 27 April 20, 1999 28 COOPER, WHITE

& COOPER
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
01 CALIFORNIA STREET
AN FRANCISCO 94111

BEFORE THE

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20554

APR 21 1999

FCC MAIL ROCK

In the Matter of

Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

CC Docket No.

1

15

27

28

PETITION OF DUCOR TELEPHONE COMPANY FOR WAIVER OF DIALING PARITY IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

INTRODUCTION

Ducor Telephone Company (hereinafter "Ducor") hereby files this Petition for Waiver ("Petition") of the dialing parity implementation schedule established in the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC's") Order in CC Docket No. 96-98 released on March 23, 1999 ("March 23rd Order"). Ducor requests an extension of time to December 22, 1999 to implement dialing parity.

BACKGROUND

Ducor is a small, rural local exchange carrier serving two exchanges in isolated areas of California. Ducor serves a total of approximately 965 access lines in its two exchanges. Because it only recently received a bona fide request for equal access, Ducor currently has not implemented dialing parity for either interLATA or intraLATA calls. Based on the request for equal access received on February 9, 1999, Ducor had already begun the process to implement interLATA equal access even before the FCC issued its March 23rd Order. Pursuant to FCC rules regarding implementation of interLATA equal access, Ducor will conduct balloting during the second half of 1999 and has targeted its conversion to equal access for December 22, 1999. Therefore, Ducor will not have

implemented interLATA equal access by July 22, 1999, the outside date under the March 23rd Order for implementing dialing parity after approval of Ducor's implementation plan by the California Public Utilities ("CPUC").

THE FCC SHOULD PERMIT DUCOR TO IMPLEMENT DIALING PARITY BY DECEMBER 22, 1999

The FCC should permit Ducor an extension of time until December 22, 1999 to implement intraLATA toll dialing parity for two reasons. First, Ducor will not have made the necessary arrangements to support dialing parity before that time. Second, implementing intraLATA dialing parity before December 22, 1999 would require Ducor to implement interLATA and intraLATA dialing parity within months of each other, causing potential customer confusion and requiring Ducor to incur unneeded costs.

Being a rural carrier in isolated regions of California serving very few subscribers, it is not surprising that Ducor had not received a bona fide request for equal access before 1999. Given the legal uncertainty surrounding the FCC's 1996 dialing parity decision and the significant cost for a small LEC to make all the necessary arrangements to accommodate dialing parity, Ducor determined it was not reasonable to prepare for dialing parity until a demonstrated demand for it existed. On February 9, 1999, Ducor received a bona fide request from a long distance carrier to implement dialing parity and began working on complying with that request. Six weeks later, the FCC issued its March 23rd Order requiring implementation by July 22, 1999. The expedited time schedule adopted in the March 23rd Order assumes that carriers have made all necessary arrangements regarding dialing parity to meet its deadlines. Unfortunately, this assumption is not accurate as applied to Ducor. Although Ducor is in the process of making arrangements to accommodate dialing parity, those arrangements will not be in place, with adequate time for testing, before December 22, 1999.

25

26

27

28

Ducor did ensure its switches which were installed in the last two years included the necessary software to provide dialing parity.

1

If imposed on Ducor, the schedule established by the March 23rd Order would require unnecessary duplicate efforts and would result in the unusual outcome that intraLATA dialing parity would be available before interLATA dialing parity was available. Under its current schedule for implementation of interLATA dialing parity, Ducor will soon commence the customer balloting required by the FCC's rules. Under Ducor's current schedule, Ducor will notify interexchange carriers on June 25, 1999 of the pending implementation of interLATA equal access. Those carriers will have the opportunity to be placed on the customer ballot. On September 22, 1999, Ducor will mail ballots to its customers, with a second mailing scheduled for November 12, 1999. Because of the similar customer notice requirements pertaining to both interLATA and intraLATA dialing parity, Ducor could reduce its costs by implementing both services simultaneously. In addition, it might prove overly confusing to Ducor's subscribers if they were required to choose an intraLATA carrier in July and then be faced with the decision to choose an interLATA carrier several months later. Granting a waiver of the intraLATA dialing parity schedule will allow Ducor to implement both inter- and intraLATA dialing parity in a coordinated fashion, reducing Ducor's costs and minimizing customer confusion.

21

// 28

CONCLUSION Based on the foregoing, Ducor requests that the FCC grant it an extension of time to December 22, 1999 in which to implement intraLATA dialing parity. Dated this 20th day of April, 1999, at San Francisco, California. Alvin H. Pelavin E. Garth Black Mark P. Schreiber

Sean P. Beatty COOPER, WHITE & COOPER 201 California Street, Seventeenth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 433-1900 Telecopier: (415) 433-5530

Attorneys for Petitioner Ducor Telephone Company

269369.1

COOPER, WHITE & COOPER ATTORNEYS AT LAW 201 CALIFORNIA STREET SAN FRANCISCO 94111